



## ARCHITECTURAL GROUP, INC.

August 18, 2015

Fred E. Levinson, CPM  
Florida Department of Military Affairs  
Construction and Facility Management Office  
Contracting Branch  
[Fred.E.Levinson.nfg@mail.mil](mailto:Fred.E.Levinson.nfg@mail.mil)

Re: Addendum 2: "Red Brick" Building Annex at Tampa National Guard Armory: **RFI** Responses

Pre-Bid Conference Questions:

1. Are there any Testing Reports for Hazardous Materials?

**Response:** Reports for hazardous materials and geotechnical will be provided.

See attached:

- REPORT OF SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION AND GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS DMA TAMPA RED BRICK BUILDING TAMPA, FLORIDA JANUARY 7, 2015
- Pre-Renovation Asbestos Survey Red Brick Building 522 North Howard Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33606 Dated November 21, 2014.
- LEAD BASED PAINT CHECK RED BRICK BUILDING – NORTH HOWARD AVENUE 522 NORTH HOWARD AVENUE TAMPA, HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FL ECS PROJECT NO. 24:5190 November 24, 2014.

Bidders Questions:

2. Specification section 269999 pg.14 item #4.b mentions installing temporary service cables (copper/and or fiber) in conduit. Please specify whether copper and/or fiber will be used or both. Also please provide better description of 12x24 dbl copper cable if required, as to how many copper pairs required for this cable).

**Response:** FDMA has stated that service to the temporary facility is existing. Contractor shall provide a CAT6 patch panel in existing equipment rack and connect to existing network switch.

3. Specification section 269999 pg.15 item #B mentions installing (2) Cat 6 cables to each wall outlet in temporary facility. Please specify number of wall outlets to be installed inside temporary facility.

**Response:** A total of 6 new Data Outlets w/ (2) new CAT 6 cables wired in, (1 outlet in Commanders office, 3 for fulltime staff & 2 outlets in classroom.

4. During the pre-bid meeting it was mentioned that the building was listed as a historic building. Hillsborough County Property Appraiser shows the building constructed in 1980. Please clarify if the building to be renovated is listed as historical, or the old Fort Homer Hesterly Armory building is the one considered historic.

**Response:** FDMA has determined that the building is a Historic Landmark with the State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO). A FDMA representative has already presented the project to SHPO. The City of Tampa only recognizes the old Fort Homer Hesterly Amory Building as a historic landmark. The project will be treated as a Historic Landmark as determined by the FDMA and SHPO.

5. What happen if there is only ONE bidder? Will be awarded?

**Response:** The contract will be awarded in accordance with State Statutes.

6. Can use an Employee Leasing Company to cover Workers Compensation?

**Response:** Please refer to Section C-3 of the Non-Technical Specifications regarding contractor's insurance.

7. About the delays for services rendered on the contract: Can be more explicit or tangible? Surety/Bonding requires this.

**Response:** Please refer to the Non-Technical Specifications regarding delays.

8. Please provide a copy of the hazardous material survey.

**Response:** See answer to Question #1.

9. Will the owner be abating the hazardous materials or the contractor?

**Response:** The Contractor will be responsible for abatement of all hazardous materials in a legal method as approved by all governing authorities and agencies having jurisdiction.

10. Based on the limited floor to ceiling height for the sheet piling shown on S1.1 and detail 1/S2.1 an alternate shoring solution is necessary as sheet piling will not work due to the height constraints. Will using Helical Piers or Steel Piers and chemical grouting be an acceptable alternative in lieu of sheet piling? Additional product information and a case study for a project containing an elevator pit addition has been attached.

**Response:** The distance between the first floor and bottom of second floor joists is approximately  $\pm 12'-0''$ , which provides adequate space for low clearance equipment. Means and method for shoring existing wall foundation for construction of new elevator pit is the responsibility of the contractor and his sub consultants and shall be designed by a professional engineer registered in the state of Florida. Alternate shoring techniques that adequately support the existing wall foundation and loads noted on the structural drawings are permitted. Shoring plans, details, and sequence of operation shall be submitted to the TLC for review prior to the start of construction in accordance

with the structural contract documents. Shoring plans, details, and calculations shall be signed and sealed by a professional engineer registered in the state of Florida in accordance with the contract documents.

The following additional Information (PDF files) are part of this Addendum.

1. PDF File: Specification Section 019113-GENERAL COMMISSIONING REQUIREMENTS has been added. See attached.
2. Revised drawings for Revision 1 and Revision 2 for responses to the City of Tampa and State Fire Marshal comments are attached. These include 3 separate PDF files (13 sheets total).
  - PDF File: Part 1 of 3- Tampa Redbrick - Revisions 1 and 2.pdf
  - PDF File: Part 2 of 3- Tampa Redbrick - Revisions 1 and 2.pdf
  - PDF File: Part 3 of 3- Tampa Redbrick - Revisions 1 and 2.pdf
3. PDF File: “1329 - DMA Tampa Red Brick-MAS(2).pdf” REPORT OF SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION AND GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS DMA TAMPA RED BRICK BUILDING TAMPA, FLORIDA JANUARY 7, 2015
4. PDF File:” Red Brick Building - Pre-Renovation Asbestos Survey-Final.pdf” Red Brick Building 522 North Howard Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33606 Dated November 21, 2014.
5. PDF File: “Red Brick Building - Lead Based Paint Check.pdf” RED BRICK BUILDING – NORTH HOWARD AVENUE 522 NORTH HOWARD AVENUE TAMPA, HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FL ECS PROJECT NO. 24:5190 November 24, 2014.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Peter M. Ikegami', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Peter M. Ikegami, AIA